

EXHIBIT 6

<p style="text-align: right;">157</p> <p>1 prepared ranking Exxon Mobil in terms of 2 its refining capacity; am I correct? 3 MS. AMRON: Objection as to 4 relevance and to the use of questions 5 about the document. 6 A. That's correct. 7 Q. You also had on your 8 computer information that you had 9 assembled about ranking Exxon Mobil in 10 terms of its refining capacity; am I 11 correct? 12 MS. AMRON: Objection as to 13 relevance and to questions about the 14 document. 15 A. That's correct. 16 Q. You also had information 17 relative to ranking Exxon Mobil in terms 18 of its retail market share nationwide 19 for gasoline sales? 20 MS. AMRON: Objection as to 21 relevance and to questions about 22 Exhibit 8. 23 A. That's correct. 24 Q. Did you also have</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">159</p> <p>1 Q. Yes. 2 A. Only to the extent that 3 Benicia supplied MTBE gasoline into the 4 common carrier pipeline system, northern 5 California, on a commingled basis. And 6 similar to how I had testified on the 7 East Coast with the commingling, that 8 supplies in this case from Benicia would 9 be spread throughout that system. To 10 the extent there were leaks that were 11 supplied by that system, I would say 12 that it is my opinion that it's 13 reasonable to assume that some gasoline 14 produced by Benicia got into those 15 service stations and into those leaks. 16 Q. And can you identify for us 17 any specific release from a service 18 station in northern California supplied 19 by that system which you believe had an 20 impact on a public water supply well 21 resulting in a well being closed or 22 having to be treated? 23 MS. AMRON: Objection as 24 beyond the scope of direct.</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">158</p> <p>1 information on your computer relative to 2 the distribution of gasoline from the 3 Torrance refinery to terminals in 4 southern California? 5 MS. AMRON: Objection as to 6 relevance and also vagueness as to 7 information. 8 A. No, I don't believe I do 9 have information on that. I don't 10 really have direct -- as I mentioned 11 earlier, I really don't have direct 12 information of where gasoline goes 13 directly from the Torrance refinery. 14 Q. And with regard to Exxon's 15 Benicia refinery, do you have any 16 information based on your experience in 17 the industry that would link refining of 18 product at Exxon's Benicia refinery to 19 releases of gasoline in California that 20 have contaminated public water supply 21 wells? 22 MS. AMRON: Objection as 23 going beyond the scope of direct. 24 A. Based on my experience?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">160</p> <p>1 A. No. As I said, that 2 gasoline commingled in that system. To 3 the extent it was supplied to locations 4 that had leaks, then Benicia-produced 5 MTBE gasoline over time would have 6 gotten into those leaks. 7 I have not reviewed or 8 assessed specific locations for where 9 leaks have occurred. 10 Q. In the course of doing work 11 for counsel, were you ever asked to 12 trace the movement of product on the 13 northern California Kinder Morgan 14 system? 15 A. I was asked on -- I was 16 asked to understand how gasoline is 17 distributed in the California market. 18 So to the extent that that includes that 19 system, yes. 20 Q. Were you asked, though, to 21 specifically trace batches of gasoline 22 moving on the northern California Kinder 23 Morgan system? 24 MS. AMRON: Objection on the</p>